



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/688,221

10/16/2003

Arnold E. Ruoho

096429-9146

9468

23510

7590

07/31/2006

MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP
ONE SOUTH PINCKNEY STREET
P O BOX 1806
MADISON, WI 53701

EXAMINER

BRANNOCK, MICHAEL T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1649

DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/688,221	Applicant(s) RUOHO ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael Brannock	Art Unit 1649	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 May 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 15-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 15-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1649

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application: Claims and Amendments

Applicant is notified that the amendments put forth on 5/2/06, have been entered in full.

Response to Amendment

Applicant is notified that all outstanding rejections have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

New Rejection:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 15-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention.

New claims 15-23 are directed to bacteriorhodopsin chimeras comprising at least a portion of a bovine rhodopsin intracellular loop 3, wherein such chimeras are further required to promote in vitro GTP-GDP exchange on the bovine G-protein transducin. Additionally, claims 22 and 23 require methods of using such chimeras to identify molecules that interact with the intracellular loop 3 of a G-protein coupled receptor.

The specification asserts that such chimeras will facilitate studies designed to assesses the role of various domains of G-protein coupled receptors and facilitate the identification of potential therapeutic agents that are capable of interacting with the GPCR so as to alter signal transduction (see pages 5, 11 and 12). The specification provides that multiple chimeras were produced and their abilities to promote GDP → GTP exchange were analyzed in an art recognized GTP γ S assay (pages 24-27) - ostensibly the assay taught by Wessling-Resnick-M, et al., JBC 262(8)367-3706)1987, see also page 1688 of Geiser-AH et al., Protein Science 15(1679-1690)2006. However, several issues arise from an analysis of Applicant's data that one of skill in the art could not know whether applicant's invention could be used as a research tool, as the specification implies, or rather as an object of further research and investigation so as to discover what can, and what cannot, be learned about endogenous GPCRs and/or endogenous GPCR/G-protein interactions that could be used in any useful way, if in fact this could be done, and nor of what usefulness compounds identified by the claimed methods would have.

One skilled in the art appreciates that GPCRs promote GTP-GDP exchange on G-proteins upon ligand binding or, as in the case of bovine rhodopsin, the absorbance of a photon of light and the consequent isomerization of 11-cis-retinal to the all-trans conformation. Ligand binding or isomerization of retinal are thought to relieve conformational restraints in the GPCR, allowing exposure of structures that can then interact with and promote the GTP-GDP exchange on the G-protein. However, the instant specification does not disclose ligand or light induced activation of the instant chimeras. Rather, the chimeras appear to display some very low-level residual or basal constitutive interaction with G-protein. While these results are interesting from a scientific view point, what relevance they may have to any practical use is simply anyone's guess; and it

Art Unit: 1649

would require extensive further research and investigation into the properties of the chimeras to begin to answer this question.

The activity of the instant chimeras appears to be extremely low, although it is difficult to tell because the data are presented as simply raw scintillation counts as opposed to the art-recognized method of reporting GTP γ S uptake as pmols/min, e.g. see Fig 3 of Wessling-Resnick-M, et al. (supra). Thus the skilled artisan could not understand the relevance of the chimera data in relation to the control and nor how the activities of either the chimeras or control are relevant to what is known in the art. Applicant's report the need to use tremendous concentrations (micromolar) of chimeras over long incubation times (5-15 min) to achieve even very modest 2 fold activation of G-protein above base line (pages 23-25 and col 1 of page 1688 of Geiser et al., (supra)). Studies of the constitutive activity of bovine rhodopsin using the GTP γ S assay typically use nanomolar concentrations of the receptor achieving many fold activation of G-protein in only several minutes time, see for example Fig 3 and 4 of Cohen-B et al., Biochemistry 32(611-6115)1993. Thus, the skilled artisan could not understand what relevance the data obtained with Applicant's chimeras might have, as such data appear to be far removed from that practiced in the art and would most likely be considered background activity in the GTP γ S assay, albeit with some specificity (page 25). The specification has not provided enough information to one skilled in the art to know how to use the instant chimeras and methods to accomplish any particularly useful task or to evaluate them for usefulness.

Further, regarding Applicant's subsequently published work, Geiser et al. (supra), it should be noted that it is unclear what type of rhodopsin standard was used in the last lane of Fig 5A of Geiser et al. (supra). The figure legend indicates that native rhodopsin was used at a molar

Art Unit: 1649

concentration of 2.3:1 vs. transducin, the transducin concentration was 0.2 micromolar, and the reactions were carried out for 10 minutes; thus the rhodopsin concentration is about 500 nM. One of ordinary skill in the art of GTP γ S assays of bovine rhodopsin would appreciate that if ~500 nM art-recognized-native-rhodopsin were used under those conditions, then the assay would saturate in a matter of seconds, i.e. all the available transducin would be irreversibly bound to GTP γ S very quickly and no meaningful comparisons could be made between the native rhodopsin standard and the chimeras after 10 minutes. See Figs 1 and 3 of Wessling-Resnick-M, (Supra) wherein 5 and 10 nM concentrations of native rhodopsin are used under similar conditions. Figure 1 shows the linear relationship between rhodopsin concentration and the V_o of the reaction. Extrapolation to 500 nM rho would indicate that the available transducin would be gone in less than a minute, absent evidence to the contrary.

Therefore, due to the large quantity of experimentation necessary to biochemically characterize the chimeras to evaluate how relevant their activities or structures are to the study of endogenous GPCRs, the lack of direction/guidance presented in the specification regarding which structural features are required in order to provide activity relevant to such, the complex nature of the invention, the state of the prior art which casts doubt on the usefulness of such chimeras, and the breadth of the claims, several of which fail to recite any structural limitations, undue experimentation would be required of the skilled artisan to use the claimed invention in any practical way other than as a starting point for further research and investigation concerning the properties of the claimed invention itself to try to find any potential practical uses for it.

Art Unit: 1649

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, Ph.D., can be reached at (571) 272-0867. Official papers filed by fax should be directed to 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MB

July 21, 2006


JANET L. ANDRES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER